Mean-field approach in the multi-component gas of interacting particles applied to relativistic heavy-ion collisions

D. Anchishkin[1,2,4], V. Vovchenko[2,3,4,5]

[1] *Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, 03680 Kiev, Ukraine*

[2] *Taras Shevchenko Kiev National University, 03022 Kiev, Ukraine*

[3] *GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany*

[4] *Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, D-60438 Frankfurt, Germany and*

[5] *Johann Wolfgang Goethe University, D-60325 Frankfurt, Germany*

arXiv:1411.1444v3 [nucl-th] 13 Aug 2015

Abstract

Generalized mean-field approach for thermodynamic description of relativistic single- and multi-component gas in the grand canonical ensemble is formulated. In the framework of the proposed approach different phenomenological excluded-volume procedures are presented and compared to the existing ones. The mean-field approach is then used to effectively include hard-core repulsion in hadron-resonance gas model for description of chemical freeze-out in heavy-ion collisions. We calculate the collision energy dependence of several quantities for different values of hard-core hadron radius and for different excluded-volume procedures such as the van der Waals and Carnahan-Starling models. It is shown that a choice of the excluded-volume model becomes important for large particle densities. For large enough values of hadron radii ($r \gtrsim 0.9$ fm) there can be a sizable difference between different excluded-volume procedures used to describe the chemical freeze-out in heavy-ion collisions. At the same time, for the smaller and more commonly used values of hard-core hadron radii ($r \lesssim 0.5$ fm), the precision of the van der Waals excluded-volume procedure is shown to be sufficient.

PACS numbers: 12.40.-y, 12.40.Ee, 24.10.Pa

I. INTRODUCTION

Thermodynamic models of description of properties of the strongly interacting matter are among the most valuable tools in modern high-energy physics. The hadron-resonance gas model and its modifications have been successfully used to extract thermodynamic parameters of matter created in heavy-ion collisions, by fitting the rich data on mean hadron multiplicities in various experiments ranging from low energies at SchwerIonen-Synchrotron (SIS) to highest energy of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [1–8]. Various equations-of-state of the strongly interacting matter (either phenomenological or based on lattice QCD) are used as an input into fluid dynamical models, which describe the dynamics of nucleus-nucleus collisions. In the simplest case, the hadronic phase is described by the multi-component ideal gas of point-like hadrons. In more realistic model one needs to take into account the attractive and repulsive interactions between hadrons. According to the arguments of Dashen, Ma, and Bernstein [9], the inclusion into the model of all known resonances as free particles allows to effectively model the attraction between hadrons. In order to describe the repulsive part of hadronic interaction, various phenomenological excluded-volume procedures have been proposed [10–13]. Another way of modeling the attractive and repulsive interactions is the relativistic mean-field theory such as Walecka model [14, 15] and its generalizations. The excluded-volume effects were also treated in the framework of generalized mean-field approach [16–19] where the resulting temperature-dependent mean-field allows to perform an excluded-volume procedure of van der Waals type. In the present work we extend this approach by formulating the generalized mean-field theory for single- and multi-component gases of particles from basic thermodynamic considerations. We show that the presented approach allows one to conveniently formulate various models of classical equation of state for real gases in the grand canonical ensemble and in a thermodynamically consistent way. As an example, we consider various excluded-volume procedures, such as the van der Waals or Carnahan-Starling models, in the framework of the hadron-resonance gas model. The importance of thermodynamic consistency constraint in phenomenological excluded-volume models has recently been discussed in Ref. [20].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we formulate the self-consistent mean-field approach from thermodynamic considerations. In Sec. III we connect our approach to the virial expansion of the interacting classical gas. In Sec. IV we generalize the mean-field

theory for a multi-component system. In Sec. V different excluded-volume procedures for systems with repulsive interaction are formulated in terms of mean fields. In Sec. VI we perform calculations in the mean-field version of the hadron-resonance gas model for the description of chemical freeze-out in heavy-ion collisions. Sec. VII closes the article with conclusions.

II. SELF-CONSISTENT STATISTICAL MECHANICS IN FRAMEWORK OF MEAN-FIELD APPROACH

Let us consider the system of interacting particles from general thermodynamic point of view. We start from the *canonical ensemble* and our consideration will be done in terms of the density of free energy $\phi(n,T)$ (we reserve the letter "f" for the distribution function), which depends on the density of particles n and temperature T. Description in terms of the density of free energy (DFE) gives complete information about the many-particle system, in particular DFE relates to the main thermodynamic quantities in the following way

$$\phi(n,T) \;=\; \varepsilon(n,T) \;-\; T\,s(n,T)\,, \tag{1}$$

$$\phi(n,T) \;=\; n\,\mu(n,T) \;-\; p(n,T)\,, \tag{2}$$

where $\varepsilon(n,T)$ is the energy density, $p(n,T)$ is the pressure. We adopt the system of units $k_{_B} = c = \hbar = 1$. Two quantities $\mu(n,T)$ (the chemical potential) and $s(n,T)$ (the entropy density) are given as partial derivatives with respect to the independent variables (n, T)

$$\mu \;=\; \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}\right)_{\!T}\,, \qquad s \;=\; -\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial T}\right)_{\!n}\,. \tag{3}$$

For a system of interacting particles the DFE can be written as a sum of free and interacting contributions

$$\phi(n,T) \;=\; \phi_0(n,T) \,+\, \phi_{\rm int}(n,T)\;, \tag{4}$$

where ϕ_0 is the DFE of the ideal system (without interaction). It follows from (3), that the chemical potential can also be split into "free" and "interacting" pieces

$$\mu \;=\; \mu_0 + \left(\frac{\partial\phi_{\rm int}}{\partial n}\right)_{\!T}\,, \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_0 \;\equiv\; \left(\frac{\partial\phi_0}{\partial n}\right)_{\!T}\,. \tag{5}$$

Taking into account Eqs. (2), (4) and (5) for the system of interacting particles one can represent the pressure in the following form

$$p \;=\; n\,\mu(n,T) \;-\; \phi(n,T) \;=\; p_0(n,T) + n\,\left(\frac{\partial\phi_{\rm int}}{\partial n}\right)_{\!T} - \phi_{\rm int}\;, \tag{6}$$

where

$$p_0(n,T) \;=\; n\,\mu_0(n,T) \;-\; \phi_0(n,T)\;. \tag{7}$$

Here the independent variables n and T correspond to the interacting system. The "free" pressure p_0 from the last equation (7) is the pressure of the ideal gas calculated for the same values of thermodynamic variables n and T

$$p_0(T,\mu_0) \;=\; \frac{g}{3}\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{\sqrt{m^2+\mathbf{k}^2}}\,f_0[\mathbf{k};T,\mu_0(n,T)]\;, \tag{8}$$

where g is the degeneracy factor, $f_0(\mathbf{k};T,\mu_0)$ is the ideal gas distribution function (the Boltzmann, Fermi-Dirac or Bose-Einstein one).

By definition we introduce now the following important notations:

$$U(n,T) \;\equiv\; \left[\frac{\partial\phi_{\rm int}(n,T)}{\partial n}\right]_T\;, \tag{9}$$

$$P^{\rm ex}(n,T) \;\equiv\; n\,\left[\frac{\partial\phi_{\rm int}(n,T)}{\partial n}\right]_T \;-\; \phi_{\rm int}(n,T)\;. \tag{10}$$

We immediately fix that these two quantities are related to one another by equality

$$n\frac{\partial U(n,T)}{\partial n} \;=\; \frac{\partial P^{\rm ex}(n,T)}{\partial n}\;. \tag{11}$$

Substituting $P^{\rm ex}(n,T)$ from (10) into Eq. (6) we obtain

$$p \;=\; p_0(T,\mu_0) + P^{\rm ex}(n,T)\;. \tag{12}$$

Evidently, if in this equation one regards $p_0(T,\mu_0)$ as the pressure of the ideal gas, then, the quantity $P^{\rm ex}(n,T)$ should be treated as the *excess pressure* which appears due to presence of interactions.

It is evident from Eqs. (9) and (10) that the knowledge of both $U(n,T)$ and $P^{\rm ex}(n,T)$ is equivalent to the knowledge of DFE, and therefore mean field and excess pressure contain full information about the system. Next, we would like to switch to the *grand canonical ensemble*, which is more convenient for describing the many-particle systems with a variable number of particles. In this ensemble the independent variables are temperature T and chemical potential μ. The pressure $p(T,\mu)$ given in terms of these independent variables contains complete information about the system. The basis of the transition from the canonical ensemble to the grand canonical ensemble is an equivalence of thermodynamic

averages in both ensembles. In this case the particle density becomes a function of T and μ, i.e. $n = n(T, \mu)$, and the pressure $p(T, \mu)$ is determined from (12) as

$$p(T, \mu) \;=\; p_0[T, \mu_0(n, T)] + P^{\rm ex}[n(T, \mu), T]\,. \tag{13}$$

The "free" pressure p_0 is determined by (8) where the "free" chemical potential μ_0 can be expressed in terms of T and μ by substituting notation (9) into Eq. (5)

$$\mu_0(T, \mu) \;=\; \mu \,-\, U[n(T, \mu), T]\;. \tag{14}$$

The pressure of the interacting gas is calculated using Eqs. (12) and (8), where we substitute into (8) the free chemical potential μ_0 from (14). As a result, we obtain the following expression for the pressure of the gas of interacting particles in the grand canonical ensemble

$$p(T, \mu) \;=\; \frac{g}{3}\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{\sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{k}^2}}\, f(\mathbf{k}; T, \mu, n) + P^{\rm ex}(n, T)\;, \tag{15}$$

where it is implied that $n = \frac{\langle N\rangle}{V} = n(T, \mu)$ and

$$f(\mathbf{k}; T, \mu, n) \;=\; \left\{\exp\left[\frac{\sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{k}^2} + U(n, T) - \mu}{T}\right] + a\right\}^{-1} \tag{16}$$

with $a = +1$ for fermions, $a = -1$ for bosons and $a = 0$ for the Boltzmann approximation.

It is an easy task to go to the nonrelativistic sector, where $|\mathbf{k}| \ll m$. Indeed, we just make an alteration in the dispersion law

$$\sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{k}^2} \;\to\; m \,+\, \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2m}\,, \tag{17}$$

and take into account that the "nonrelativistic" chemical potential, $\widetilde{\mu}$, relates to "relativistic" one, μ, as $\widetilde{\mu} = \mu - m$.

In a sense, present transition from the canonical ensemble to the grand canonical ensemble is based on the alteration of the particle number density N/V as an independent variable by its mean value $\langle N\rangle/V$ which depends on chemical potential μ and temperature T. Hence, from now on, under the quantity n we adopt the mean value $n = \langle N\rangle/V$. Essentially, this transition corresponds to the Legendre transform of the thermodynamic potentials, namely from description of the system by means of the density of free energy $\phi(n, T)$ we switch to the description by means of pressure $p(T, \mu)$ or by thermodynamic potential $\Omega = -p(T, \mu)V$. In general case such a transform means

$$p(T, \mu) \;=\; n\,\mu \,-\, \phi(n, T)\,, \qquad \text{where} \qquad \mu \;=\; \left[\frac{\partial\phi(n, T)}{\partial n}\right]_T\,. \tag{18}$$

Actually, for a single-component gas the thermodynamic limit assumption, i.e. $N/V = \text{const}$ when $N \to \infty$ and $V \to \infty$, is necessary for this transition to be valid.

Because we separate the free energy in two pieces, $\phi(n,T) = \phi_0(n,T) + \phi_{\rm int}(n,T)$, in our case the Legendre transform looks like

$$p(T,\mu) \, - p_0(T,\mu_0) = n\,(\mu - \mu_0) \, - \, \phi_{\rm int}(n,T) \; , \quad \text{where} \quad \mu - \mu_0 = \left[\frac{\partial \phi_{\rm int}(n,T)}{\partial n}\right]_T . \quad (19)$$

Next, we do not resolve second equation in (19) explicitly, but keep it in an implicit form introducing short-hand notations (9) and (10). After that Eq. (19) becomes

$$p(T,\mu) \; = \; p_0[T, \mu - U(n,T)] + P^{\rm ex}(n,T) \; , \quad (20)$$

where particle density carries dependence on temperature and chemical potential in implicit form, $n(T,\mu)$. Our next step is to determine the function $U(n,T)$ or the function $P^{\rm ex}(n,T)$ from another approach. For instance, one can determine these functions from the virial expansion (see more details below), or from some phenomenological equation of state which describes the real gases in the canonical ensemble. When one gets the function $U(n,T)$ or the function $P^{\rm ex}(n,T)$ in explicit form just after that we can say that the problem is formulated in a closed form.

Expression (15) for pressure contains a particle number density $n(T,\mu)$, which is a hitherto unknown quantity at given T and μ. In order to determine $n(T,\mu)$ we recall the following thermodynamic identity

$$n(T,\mu) \; = \; \frac{\partial p(T,\mu)}{\partial \mu} \, . \quad (21)$$

To proceed in calculation of (21) it is convenient to use expression of the pressure (15) in the equivalent form

$$p(T,\mu) \; = \; \frac{gT}{a} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \, \ln \left\{ 1 \, + \, a \exp \left[\frac{-\sqrt{m^2 + \boldsymbol{k}^2} - U(n,T) + \mu}{T} \right] \right\} + P^{\rm ex}(n,T) \; . \quad (22)$$

Taking derivative of this expression with respect to μ and using relation (11) one obtains

$$n \; = \; g \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \, f(\mathbf{k}; T, \mu, n) \; . \quad (23)$$

In this sense we can regard the statistical mechanics under consideration as a nonlinear one because right-hand-side of expression (23) for density n itself depends explicitly on n. Therefore, the obtained expression is in fact a nonlinear equation with respect to n. It

is also seen that the function $f(\mathbf{k};T,\mu,n)$ given in (16) and which appears in (23) can be regarded as the distribution function with dispersion relation $\epsilon(\boldsymbol{k}) = \epsilon_0(\boldsymbol{k}) + U(n,T)$, where $\epsilon_0(\boldsymbol{k}) = \sqrt{m^2 + \boldsymbol{k}^2}$. Consequently, we can interpret $U(n,T)$ as a *thermodynamic mean field* which corrects the free single-particle spectrum $\epsilon_0(\boldsymbol{k})$ by accounting for interaction of particles in the many-particle system.

To determine the energy density ε, we use the Euler relation, $\varepsilon + p = Ts + \mu n$, where $s = \partial p/\partial T$. One obtains

$$\varepsilon(T,\mu) \;=\; g\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,\sqrt{m^2+\mathbf{k}^2}\,f(\boldsymbol{k};T,\mu,n) \;-\; P^{\rm ex}(n,T) \;+\; n\,U(n,T) \;+ \\ +T\left\{\left[\frac{\partial P^{\rm ex}(n,T)}{\partial T}\right]_n \;-\; n\,\left[\frac{\partial U(n,T)}{\partial T}\right]_n\right\}\;. \tag{24}$$

The self-consistency of the thermodynamic picture that we obtain above is due to relation (11) between the mean field $U(n,T)$ and the excess pressure $P^{\rm ex}(n,T)$. That is why it is reasonable to regard relation (11) as a *condition of thermodynamic consistency*.

On the other hand, one can start to build a model on the base of some phenomenological approach in which he can determine $U(n,T)$ or/and $P^{\rm ex}(n,T)$. Then, relation (11) needs to be adopted by definition. Indeed, taking the partial derivative of thermodynamic potential $\Omega(T,\mu,V)$ and using this relation it is possible to obtain the correct expression for the particle number density, i.e. $-\left[\partial\Omega/\partial\mu\right]_{T,V} = nV$. Meanwhile, in our approach relation (11) was obtained without any approximations as an intrinsic property of the mean field $U(n,T)$ and the excess pressure $P^{\rm ex}(n,T)$ introduced in (9) and (10).

Attention should be drawn to the fact that for a given function $\phi_{\rm int}(n,T)$ (as the starting point of the problem) or, which is the same, for a given mean field $U(n,T)$, Eq. (23) is not a function but a nonl-linear equation for the particle number density n. This equation has to be solved in a self-consistent way for any given point in the (T,μ) plane. The solution will result in the explicit dependence $n = n(T,\mu)$, which in general differs from the ideal gas dependence, $n_0(T,\mu_0)$. With known $n(T,\mu)$, the pressure $p(T,\mu)$ and the energy density $\varepsilon(T,\mu)$ can be determined from equations (15) and (24), respectively. And in the end one can say, that performing the steps listed above we reformulate a statistical description of the system of interacting particles to a description by means of a nonlinear statistical approach.

Analogous approach has been of wide use in relativistic mean field theories [14, 15, 21] where particles interact by means of a scalar field ϕ (attraction) and a vector field V_μ

(repulsion). Due to the rotation invariance just the "time" component of the vector field, V_0, survives. In our notations it corresponds to the mean field $U(n,T)$.

III. SINGLE PARTICLE NONLINEAR REPRESENTATION OF INTERACTING CLASSICAL GAS

To illustrate the above considerations we consider a classical gas with particle repulsion. The grand partition function of the classical gas is

$$\Xi(T,\mu,V) \;=\; \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} V^N g^N \int \frac{d^3k_1}{(2\pi)^3}\cdots\frac{d^3k_N}{(2\pi)^3}\,\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{T}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} e_0(k_j)-\mu N\right]\right\} \times\frac{1}{V^N N!}\int d^3r_1\cdots d^3r_N\,\exp\left(-\frac{U_N}{T}\right)\,, \tag{25}$$

where $e_0(k)=\mathbf{k}^2/2m$ in the nonrelativistic case and $e_0(k)=\sqrt{m^2+\mathbf{k}^2}$ in the relativistic one. Here the potential energy of the N-particle system reads as

$$U_N \;=\; \sum_{i<j}^{N}\Phi\left(|\mathbf{r}_i-\mathbf{r}_j|\right)\;, \tag{26}$$

where $\Phi(|\mathbf{r}|)$ is the two-particle potential.

On the next step we use the first and second Mayer's theorems [22, 23] which are the crucial points of our consideration. Due to these theorems the virial expansion of the grand partition function $\Xi(T,\,n)$ for the Boltzmann gas with two-particle interaction reads

$$\ln\Xi \;=\; V\left[n+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} B_i(T)\,n^i\right]\;, \tag{27}$$

$$\ln z_0 \;=\; \ln n \;+\; \sum_{i=2}^{\infty}\frac{i}{i-1}\,B_i(T)\,n^{i-1}\;, \tag{28}$$

where z_0 is the ideal single-particle partition function

$$z_0(T,\mu) \;=\; g\int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,\exp\left[-\frac{e_0(\boldsymbol{k})-\mu}{T}\right]\,. \tag{29}$$

The virial coefficients $B_i(T)$ depend on temperature T , e.g., the second virial coefficient for particles interacting through the potential $\Phi(r)$ is given by

$$B_2(T) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\int d^3r\,\left\{1-\exp\left[-\frac{\Phi(r)}{T}\right]\right\}\,. \tag{30}$$

The expansions entering on the r.h.s. of Eqs. (27) and (28), can be incorporated into the quantities

$$P_{\rm cl}^{\rm ex}(n,T) \,=\, T\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} B_i(T)\, n^i \;, \tag{31}$$

$$U_{\rm cl}(n,T) \,=\, T\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{i}{i-1}\, B_i(T)\, n^{i-1} \;, \tag{32}$$

which obey a relation analogous to (11),

$$n\frac{\partial U_{cl}(n,T)}{\partial n} \;=\; \frac{\partial P_{\rm cl}^{\rm ex}(n,T)}{\partial n} \;. \tag{33}$$

Equation (33) is valid for every pair of the correspondent terms in expansions (31) and (32) separately. Thus it will still be valid if one truncates the series at any order. With the use of these notations, Eqs. (27) and (28) can be rewritten as

$$p(T,\mu) \,=\, \frac{T}{V}\,\ln\Xi \,=\, Tn(T,\mu) \,+\, P_{\rm cl}^{\rm ex}(n,T) \;, \tag{34}$$

$$n(T,\mu) \,=\, g\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\exp\left[-\frac{e_0(k)-\mu+U_{\rm cl}(n,T)}{T}\right] . \tag{35}$$

These expressions, which determine the thermodynamic behaviour of the classical (Boltzmann) gas, have been obtained here without any approximations and can be regarded as the single particle representation of the original partition function (25). On the other hand, in view of the last two expressions, one can regard the quantities $U(n,T)$ and $P_{\rm cl}^{\rm ex}(n,T)$ as "mean" field and excess pressure respectively, but also as rigorous quantities in the framework of classical statistics. And in addition, if one describes many-particle system by expressions (34) and (35) then the thermodynamic quantities $U(n,T)$ and $P_{\rm cl}^{\rm ex}(n,T)$ possess the virial expansions (31) and (32).

For the energy density ε, using the Euler relation, $\varepsilon + p = Ts + \mu n$, and $s = \partial p/\partial T$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(T,\mu) \,=\, g\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\, e_0(k)\, f(\mathbf{k};T,\mu,n) \,+\, n\,U_{cl}(n,T) \,-\, P_{\rm cl}^{\rm ex}(n,T) \,+ \\ +\, T\left\{\left[\frac{\partial P_{\rm cl}^{\rm ex}(n,T)}{\partial T}\right]_n \,-\, n\left[\frac{\partial U_{\rm cl}(n,T)}{\partial T}\right]_n\right\} \;.\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

It is evidently seen that this expression for the energy density coincide with Eq. (24) obtained in previous section.

A. Correspondence to thermodynamic mean-field theory

We adjust now the correspondence between the approach which was elaborated in Sec. II and the present one. For this purpose we consider the first approach in that region where the classical statistics can be used. Then, distribution function (16) reduces to

$$f(\mathbf{k};T,\mu,n) \;=\; \exp\left[-\frac{e_0(k)+U(n,T)-\mu}{T}\right] . \tag{37}$$

We now compare two descriptions for the same system: The first one is based on the grand partition function (25), in the form of Eqs. (34), (35), while the second one uses the distribution function (37) to calculate pressure (15) and particle density (23). If these two approaches give indeed the same result then we obtain

$$U(n,T) = U_{\rm cl}(n,T) \;, \tag{38}$$

and consequently

$$P^{\rm ex}(n,T) = P^{\rm ex}_{\rm cl}(n,T) \;. \tag{39}$$

This conclusion gives a constructive algorithm to develop a model of the interacting system by means of a mean field. Indeed, we can extract the mean field from the known classical equation of state $p = p_{\rm cl}(n,T)$. First, the excess pressure is determined as $P^{\rm ex}(n,T) = p_{\rm cl}(n,T) - nT$. Then, the mean field can be determined from (11) as

$$U(n,T) \;=\; U(n=0,T) \;+\; \int_0^n \frac{1}{n'}\,\frac{\partial P^{\rm ex}(n',T)}{\partial n'}\,dn' \,. \tag{40}$$

Equation (40) contains the unknown quantity $U(n=0,T)$, which is an integration constant. To fix this quantity we recall that systems with short-range interaction should approach the ideal gas in the low density limit ($n \to 0$). Since the mean field is zero for ideal gas, then one should fix this constant as $U(n=0,T) = 0$.

IV. MEAN-FIELD APPROACH FOR MULTI-COMPONENT GAS

The proposed phenomenological mean-field approach can be generalized for the case of a mixture of f different particle species. Let us denote $\mathbf{n} = (n_1,\ldots,n_f)$ as a set of particle densities and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_f)$ as a set of chemical potentials corresponding to each of the particle species. In a case of a mixture of f particle species there will be f different

mean fields $U_i(\mathbf{n},T)$ corresponding to each particle species and a common excess pressure $P^{\rm ex}(\mathbf{n},T)$. It is natural that $U_i(\mathbf{n},T)$ and $P^{\rm ex}(\mathbf{n},T)$ can depend on any of the particle densities of different species. The density of free energy (DFE) in this case reads

$$\phi(\mathbf{n},T) \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{f} n_i\mu_i(\mathbf{n},T) \;-\; p(\mathbf{n},T)\,. \tag{41}$$

For the system of interacting particles the DFE can be expressed as a sum of free and interacting parts

$$\phi(\mathbf{n},T) \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{f} \phi_0^i(n_i,T) \;+\; \phi_{\rm int}(\mathbf{n},T)\,. \tag{42}$$

Using the same considerations as in Section II one can switch to the grand canonical ensemble by putting a mean value of particle number density $\langle N_i\rangle/V$ in place of n_i in Eqs. (41) and (42) for each of the particle species ($i=1,\ldots,f$). In this case the total pressure $p(T,\boldsymbol{\mu})$ and density $n_i(T,\boldsymbol{\mu})$ of particle species i can be expressed as

$$p(T,\boldsymbol{\mu}) \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{f} \frac{g_i}{3}\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,\frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{\sqrt{m_i^2+\mathbf{k}^2}}\,f_i(\mathbf{k};T,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{n}) \;+\; P^{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{n},T)\;, \tag{43}$$

$$n_i(T,\boldsymbol{\mu}) \;=\; g_i\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,f_i(\mathbf{k};T,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{n})\,, \tag{44}$$

where $f_i(\mathbf{k};T,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{n})$ is the distribution function for particle species i

$$f_i(\mathbf{k};T,\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathbf{n}) \;=\; \left\{\exp\left[\frac{\sqrt{m_i^2+\boldsymbol{k}^2}+U_i[\boldsymbol{n}(T,\boldsymbol{\mu}),T]-\mu_i}{T}\right]+a_i\right\}^{-1}\;. \tag{45}$$

Actually, this means that from now on under the quantity n_i we take the mean value $n_i=\langle N_i\rangle/V$. The excess pressure $P^{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{n},T)$ and mean fields $U_i(\boldsymbol{n},T)$ are defined as

$$P^{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{n},T) \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{f} n_i\left[\frac{\partial\phi_{\rm int}(\boldsymbol{n},T)}{\partial n_i}\right]_{T,n_{j\neq i}} \;-\; \phi_{\rm int}(\boldsymbol{n},T), \tag{46}$$

$$U_i(\boldsymbol{n},T) \;=\; \left[\frac{\partial\phi_{\rm int}(\boldsymbol{n},T)}{\partial n_i}\right]_{T,n_{j\neq i}}\;, \tag{47}$$

and related to each other through a set of equations corresponding to thermodynamic consistency:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{f} n_j\,\frac{\partial U_j}{\partial n_i} \;=\; \frac{\partial P^{\rm ex}}{\partial n_i}, \quad i=1\ldots f. \tag{48}$$

From the relation $\dfrac{\partial^2 P^{\rm ex}}{\partial n_i \partial n_k} = \dfrac{\partial^2 P^{\rm ex}}{\partial n_k \partial n_i}$ one can see that mean fields U_i are not independent but are related to each other via

$$\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial n_j} \;=\; \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial n_i}, \quad i,j = 1 \ldots f\,. \tag{49}$$

This relation can be useful when defining mean fields for multi-component gases from phenomenological considerations (see also [24]).

Equation (44) represents system of f equations (usually transcendental ones) for particle densities n_i which can be solved numerically. Energy density can be evaluated from thermodynamical relation $\varepsilon = Ts + \sum_{i=1}^{f} \mu_i n_i - p$. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(T,\boldsymbol{\mu}) \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{f} g_i \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,\sqrt{m_i^2+\mathbf{k}^2}\,f_i(\mathbf{k};T,\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{n}) \;-\; P^{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{n},T) \;+\; \sum_{i=1}^{f} n_i\,U_i(\boldsymbol{n},T) \;+ \\ +\,T\left[\frac{\partial P^{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{n},T)}{\partial T} \;-\; \sum_{i=1}^{f} n_i\,\frac{\partial U_i(\boldsymbol{n},T)}{\partial T}\right]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

A. Conserved charges

In relativistic mechanics it is commonly the case that number of particles in closed system can change, for instance due to creation of pairs of particles and anti-particles, and instead of particle number conservation there are conservation laws for the charges. As an example it can be baryon charge, electric charge and strangeness in strongly interacting systems. Consequently, the grand canonical treatment of relativistic many particle system, which contains several species of particles, is usually formulated in terms of independent chemical potentials which correspond to conserved charges rather than particle numbers. Let us have s independent conserved charges and let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (\tilde{\mu}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\mu}_s)$ be corresponding independent chemical potentials.

If we denote the j-th charge of the i-th particle species as Q_i^j then chemical potential μ_i of i-th particle species can be expressed as

$$\mu_i(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \;=\; \sum_{j=1}^{s} Q_i^j \tilde{\mu}_j\,. \tag{51}$$

Density ρ_j of j-th charge can be expressed via a set of particle densities $\{n_i\}$ as

$$\rho_j(T,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{f} Q_i^j\, n_i[T,\boldsymbol{\mu}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}})]\,. \tag{52}$$

There will be a total of f mean fields $U_i(T,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$, one for each of the particle species, also in the case of just $s<f$ independent chemical potentials. Let us show that we can satisfy the conditions of thermodynamic consistency, $\rho_j=\partial p(T,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}})/\partial\tilde{\mu}_j$, provided that the excess pressure and mean fields satisfy (48) and that pressure and particles densities are given by Eqs. (43) and (44), but where $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ depends on $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ via (51). Indeed, taking pressure (43) in a "log" form

$$p(T,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}})=\sum_{i=1}^{f}\frac{g_iT}{a_i}\int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\ln\left\{1+a_i\exp\left[\frac{-\sqrt{m_i^2+\mathbf{k}^2}-U_i(\boldsymbol{n},T)+\mu_i}{T}\right]\right\}+P^{\rm ex}(\boldsymbol{n},T)\,, \tag{53}$$

where $n_i[T,\boldsymbol{\mu}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}})]$, we can calculate derivative $\partial p(T,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}})/\partial\tilde{\mu}_j$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial p(T,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}})}{\partial\tilde{\mu}_j} &= \sum_{i=1}^{f}g_i\int\frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,f_i(\mathbf{k};T,\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{n})\left[Q_i^j-\sum_{k=1}^{f}\frac{\partial U_i(\mathbf{n},T)}{\partial n_k}\,\frac{\partial n_k(T,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}})}{\partial\tilde{\mu}_j}\right]+\\ &\quad+\sum_{k=1}^{f}\frac{\partial P^{\rm ex}(\mathbf{n},T)}{\partial n_k}\,\frac{\partial n_k(T,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}})}{\partial\tilde{\mu}_j}\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{f}Q_i^jn_i-\sum_{k=1}^{f}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{f}n_i\,\frac{\partial U_i(\mathbf{n},T)}{\partial n_k}-\frac{\partial P^{\rm ex}(\mathbf{n},T)}{\partial n_k}\right]\frac{\partial n_k(T,\mu)}{\partial\tilde{\mu}_j}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where we have used (51) which gives $\partial\mu_i/\partial\tilde{\mu}_j=Q_i^j$. Taking into account Eq. (48) we have

$$\frac{\partial p(T,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}})}{\partial\tilde{\mu}_j}=\rho_j(T,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}}), \tag{55}$$

in accordance with thermodynamic relations. This explains how a thermodynamic mean-field description of the multi-component systems given by Eqs. (43)-(45) can be exploited in case of conserved charges.

V. EXCLUDED-VOLUME PROCEDURE

The excluded-volume procedure is a natural way to model the short-range hard-core repulsion. In particular, this procedure has been included in different relativistic mean-field models of nuclear matter in order to account for the finite eigenvolume of nucleons, in addition to the description of their interaction through the exchange of mesonic fields (see, e.g., Refs. [12, 17, 25, 26]). The thermodynamic mean-field formulation proposed in the present paper is essentially a microscopic approach, hence it makes the inclusion of excluded-volume procedure into different microscopic equations of state especially convenient. In the

present work, however, we only consider systems with just the hard-core interaction, which is taken into account by means of excluded-volume procedure.

In this section we describe a way to effectively formulate a description of systems with short-range repulsion as an excluded-volume procedure in the framework of the thermodynamic mean-field model.

A. Mean fields which are proportional to temperature

Let us consider firstly a single-component classical gas. In classical systems where repulsive interactions are modeled as a hard-core repulsion, the virial coefficients B_i are independent of temperature, see e.g. (30). It follows from (32) and (31) that, in this case, the mean field and the excess pressure describing such a system are linear functions of temperature, i.e.

$$U(n,T) \;\propto\; T\,, \qquad\qquad P^{\rm ex}(n,T) \;\propto\; T\,, \tag{56}$$

More generally, let us consider a class of mean fields which are linear in T. One can see from (24) that in this case the energy density is determined just by the integral term

$$\varepsilon(T,\mu) \;=\; g\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,\sqrt{m^2+\mathbf{k}^2}\,f(\mathbf{k};T,\mu,n)\,. \tag{57}$$

In case of the Boltzmann statistics for this class of mean fields we find

$$n(T,\mu) \;=\; e^{-\widetilde{U}(n)}\;n_0(T,\mu)\,, \tag{58}$$

$$\varepsilon(T,\mu) \;=\; e^{-\widetilde{U}(n)}\;\varepsilon_0(T,\mu)\,, \tag{59}$$

where $\widetilde{U}(n) = U(T,n)/T$ in accordance with (56) and we introduce notations

$$n_0(T,\mu) \;=\; g\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,f_0(\mathbf{k};T,\mu)\,, \tag{60}$$

$$\varepsilon_0(T,\mu) \;=\; g\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\,\sqrt{m^2+\mathbf{k}^2}\,f_0(\mathbf{k};T,\mu)\,. \tag{61}$$

Here $f_0(\mathbf{k};T,\mu) = \exp\left[\frac{-\sqrt{m^2+\mathbf{k}^2}+\mu}{T}\right]$ is the distribution function of ideal gas at temperature T and chemical potential μ.

One can interpret the factor

$$e^{-\widetilde{U}(n)} \;\equiv\; \vartheta(n)\,, \tag{62}$$

on the r.h.s. of equations (58) and (59) as a suppression-factor of the free volume V of the system. Indeed, if we introduce notation of the available volume

$$\widetilde{V}(n) = \vartheta(n)\, V\,, \tag{63}$$

we can rewrite Eqs. (58), (59) in the form

$$N(T,\mu) \;=\; \widetilde{V}\, n_0(T,\mu)\,, \tag{64}$$

$$E(T,\mu) \;=\; \widetilde{V}\, \varepsilon_0(T,\mu)\,. \tag{65}$$

Obviously, in case of a repulsive interaction the mean field $U(T,n)$ is positive one and we have

$$0 < \vartheta(n) \leq 1 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \widetilde{V} \;\leq\; V\,. \tag{66}$$

This means that a repulsive mean field generates an *effective* proper volume $\widetilde{v}_0$ of every particle, which belongs to the system, resulting in the reduction of the total volume V of the system of these N particles, i.e. $V \to V - N\widetilde{v}_0 = \widetilde{V}$. One can then evaluate the effective proper volume $\widetilde{v}_0(n)$ as

$$\widetilde{v}_0(n) \;=\; \frac{1}{N}\left(V - \widetilde{V}\right) \;=\; v\left[\,1 - \vartheta(n)\,\right]. \tag{67}$$

where $v = V/N$ is the mean classical volume per particle. The interpretation of $\widetilde{v}_0$ in accordance with (67) is evident enough. Indeed, the quantity $V - \widetilde{V}$ represents the total *effective* self-volume of N particles of the system which is due to repulsive interaction between them. Then, the *effective* single particle self-volume is in one-to-one correspondence with the original repulsive mean field

$$\widetilde{v}_0(n) \;=\; \frac{1}{n}\left\{\,1 - \exp\left[\,-\widetilde{U}(n)\,\right]\right\}, \tag{68}$$

where the r.h.s. of equation depends on the particle density only.

We also note that a presence of a such mean field does not change the average energy per particle in comparison to ideal gas:

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{n} = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{n_0} = 3T + m\frac{K_1(m/T)}{K_2(m/T)}. \tag{69}$$

So, in some sense, we prove a *theorem* which says:
If the mean field is the repulsive one ($U(n,T) > 0$) and it is proportional to the temperature,

i.e. $U(n,T) \propto T$, then, for the Boltzmann statistics, a presence of the interaction in the system appears just as reduction of the total volume of the system in the following way: $\widetilde{V} \to \vartheta(n)\, V$, where $\vartheta(n) = \exp\left[-U(n,T)/T\right]$.

With regard to this, we propose to identify all models where a repulsive mean field is proportional to temperature as a *set of excluded-volume models*. In this type of models the number of particles and total energy are calculated by using the ideal gas expressions in the grand canonical ensemble as follows:

$$N(T,\mu) \;=\; \widetilde{V}\, n_0(T,\mu)\,, \qquad E(T,\mu) \;=\; \widetilde{V}\, \varepsilon_0(T,\mu)\,. \tag{70}$$

In a case of quantum statistics we have

$$n(T,\mu) \;=\; \vartheta(n)\; \widetilde{n}_0(T,\mu)\,, \tag{71}$$

$$\varepsilon(T,\mu) \;=\; \vartheta(n)\; \widetilde{\varepsilon}_0(T,\mu)\,, \tag{72}$$

where

$$\widetilde{n}_0(T,\mu) \;=\; g \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\, \widetilde{f}_0(\mathbf{k};T,\mu)\,, \tag{73}$$

$$\widetilde{\varepsilon}_0(T,\mu) \;=\; g \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\, \sqrt{m^2+\mathbf{k}^2}\, \widetilde{f}_0(\mathbf{k};T,\mu)\,. \tag{74}$$

Here $\widetilde{f}_0(\mathbf{k};T,\mu)$ is the distribution function of the Fermi or Bose gas corrected by a factor $\vartheta(n)$

$$\widetilde{f}_0(\mathbf{k};T,\mu) \;=\; \left[\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{m^2+\mathbf{k}^2}-\mu}{T}\right) \;+\; a\,\vartheta(n)\right]^{-1}\,. \tag{75}$$

It turns out that, in addition to volume suppression, here we also effectively obtain an intermediate quantum statistics because the second term in the denominator is in the range $0 < \vartheta(n) \leq 1$. We remind that $\vartheta(n) = e^{-\widetilde{U}(n)}$, and $a = 1$ for fermions or $a = -1$ for bosons. It is interesting to note that in this case of repulsive mean field, when one increases the particle density n the distribution function (75) shifts closer to the classical Boltzmann one. Hence, in case of the quantum statistics we can conclude that the repulsive mean fields which are linear in T reduce the total volume of the system ($V \to \widetilde{V}$), and effectively result in reduction of the effects connected to quantum statistics.

B. Excluded-volume models for single-component gas

Let us now consider some particular examples of excluded-volume models in the framework of the mean-field approach. As a first example we consider a single-component gas where every particle has a proper volume v_0 which is independent of particle-number density n, i.e. it is some parameter of the model. Then, the free available volume in the system of N particles is $\widetilde{V} = V - Nv_0$. Actually, solving (68) with respect to mean field U one obtains

$$U(n,T) \;=\; -T\ln\left(1-\widetilde{v}_0\, n\right). \tag{76}$$

If here we put approximately $\widetilde{v}_0 \approx v_0 = \text{const}$, then we come to the mean-field model which we name as *model of directly excluded-volume*, where $U \equiv U_{\rm de}(n,T) = -T\ln\left(1-v_0\, n\right)$. In a case of classical gas the equation (23) for particle-number density $n \equiv n_{\rm de}$ can be solved explicitly

$$n_{\rm de}(T,\mu) \;=\; \frac{n^0(T,\mu)}{1+v_0\, n^0(T,\mu)}\;, \tag{77}$$

where $n^0 = z_0$ is the density of point-like particles (Eq. (23) with $U = 0$). This formula demonstrates explicitly our general statement: switching on the repulsive interaction results in a decrease of the particle-number density $n_{\rm de}(T,\mu)$ compared to the particle-number density $n^0(T,\mu)$ of the ideal gas at the same temperature and chemical potential. This phenomenon is valid in quantum statistics as well.

For the pressure in the Boltzmann sector we obtain using Eq. (34)

$$p_{\rm de}(T,\mu) \;=\; -\frac{T}{v_0}\ln\left[1-v_0\, n_{\rm de}(T,\mu)\right] \;=\; \frac{T}{v_0}\ln\left[1+v_0\, n^0(T,\mu)\right]\;, \tag{78}$$

where the last equality appears when one substitute $n_{\rm de}(T,\mu)$ from (77). The energy density in this model is given by

$$\varepsilon_{\rm de}(T,\mu) \;=\; \frac{\varepsilon^0(T,\mu)}{1+v_0\, n^0(T,\mu)}\;, \tag{79}$$

where $\varepsilon^0(T,\mu)$ is the energy density of the Boltzmann gas of point-like particles. It is seen that due to hard-core repulsion, which is accounted for by means of excluded volume, the energy density $\varepsilon_{\rm de}(T,\mu)$ is smaller than $\varepsilon^0(T,\mu)$ in the same way as a decrease of particle-number density.

It turns out that pressure (78) of the directly excluded volume is of the same form as the one in the lattice gas model [27] where the lattice has been constructed from the cells with the volume v_0 and there are $N_{\rm cell} = V/v_0$ cells in the lattice. The pressure and energy

density in this model are due to the number of possible combinations to place N particles in $N_{\rm cell}$ cells (configuration entropy).

Next, we consider the 2nd order virial expansion for classical gas of hard spheres of radius r

$$p = Tn + Tv_0 n^2, \tag{80}$$

where virial coefficient $v_0 = 4\,(4\pi)\,r^3/3$ is four times the intrinsic volume of a particle. The excess pressure and mean field are then given by

$$P^{\rm ex}(n,T) \;=\; Tv_0 n^2\,, \tag{81}$$

$$U(n,T) \;=\; 2\,Tv_0 n\,. \tag{82}$$

Equations (81) and (82) are general expressions for a second order virial expansion with virial coefficient v_0. We note that the model of directly excluded-volume is consistent with the 2nd order virial expansion (80). Indeed, if in directly excluded-volume approach one expands the mean field to the first order with respect to $v_0 n$ and the excess pressure to the second order and lets $\widetilde{v}_0 = 2v_0$, then he comes to Eqs. (81) and (82).

Perhaps the most conventional example of a system with excluded volume is *the van der Waals equation of state*

$$p_{\rm vdW} \;=\; \frac{Tn}{1 - v_0\,n}\;, \tag{83}$$

where attractive interactions have been neglected. Equation (83) represents extrapolation of Eq. (80) to higher values of $v_0 n$. The excess pressure ($P^{\rm ex} = p - nT$) is now

$$P^{\rm ex}_{\rm vdW}(n,T) \;=\; Tn\,\frac{v_0\,n}{1 - v_0\,n}\;. \tag{84}$$

Using Eq. (40) with the integration constant $U(n=0,T) = 0$ we obtain the repulsive mean field

$$U_{\rm vdW}(n,T) \;=\; T\frac{v_0\,n}{1 - v_0\,n} - T\ln\left(1 - v_0\,n\right)\,. \tag{85}$$

For the Boltzmann statistics the particle-number density can be calculated from (23) and we get

$$n_{\rm vdW} \;=\; (1 \,-\, v_0\,n_{\rm vdW})\,n^0(T,\mu)\,\exp\left(-\frac{v_0 n_{\rm vdW}}{1 \,-\, v_0\,n_{\rm vdW}}\right)\,. \tag{86}$$

Here $n^0(T,\mu)$ is the particle-number density of a point-like ideal gas and henceforth the zero superscript will denote the ideal gas quantities. Then, to obtain particle density $n_{\rm vdW}$

explicitly it is necessary to solve the transcendental, i.e. nonlinear, equation (86) with respect to $n_{\rm vdW}$ for every point (T, μ)

A thermodynamically consistent procedure to incorporate the excluded-volume correction in the hadron gas models was formulated in Ref. [12] by means of direct calculation of the grand partition function in the Boltzmann approximation. The pressure in that approach is given by

$$P^{\rm excl} \;=\; n^0(T,\mu)\, T\, e^{-v_0 P^{\rm excl}/T}, \tag{87}$$

It is the transcendental equation with respect to pressure, $P^{\rm excl}$. Performing some simple algebra one can easily show that Eq. (87) can be obtained from Eqs. (83) and (86) and therefore our mean-field approach coincides with excluded volume procedure from Ref. [12] in the Boltzmann limit.

The last example of an excluded-volume procedure for a single-component gas that we consider is an approach based on a well-known *Carnahan-Starling equation of state* [28], which goes beyond the van der Waals one and is known to describe the fluid phase of the hard sphere model more accurately. The pressure is given in terms of the packing fraction η by the Carnahan-Starling formula

$$P_{\rm CS} \;=\; Tn\,\frac{1+\eta+\eta^2-\eta^3}{(1-\eta)^3}\,. \tag{88}$$

Packing fraction η can be expressed in terms of second virial coefficient v_0 and particle density n as $\eta = v_0 n/4$. From here the excess pressure reads as

$$P^{\rm ex}_{\rm CS}(n,T) \;=\; Tn\,\frac{v_0 n \,-\, (v_0 n)^2/8}{(1 \,-\, v_0 n/4)^3}\,, \tag{89}$$

and mean field $U_{\rm CS}(n,T)$ calculated with the help of Eq. (40) is

$$U_{\rm CS}(n,T) \;=\; -3T\,\left[1 - \frac{1 - v_0 n/12}{(1 - v_0 n/4)^3}\right]\,. \tag{90}$$

In Fig. 1 we plot the dependence of the excess pressure normalized by the ideal gas pressure, $P^{\rm ex}/nT$, on the packing fraction, $\eta = v_0 n/4$, for four different hard-sphere models: the 2nd order virial expansion (dashed green line), the model of directly excluded-volume (dotted blue line), the van der Waals equation of state (dash-dotted red line), and the Carnahan-Starling equation of state (solid black line). Monte Carlo data from Ref. [29] on the compressibility of hard spheres is depicted by open triangles. We note that all four



Figure 1: (Color online) The dependence of the quantity $P^{\rm ex}/nT$ on the packing fraction η for four different models: the 2nd order virial expansion (dashed green line), the model of directly excluded-volume (dotted blue line), the van der Waals equation of state (dash-dotted red line), and the Carnahan-Starling equation of state (solid black line). Monte Carlo data from Ref. [29] is depicted by open triangles.

models coincide up to 2nd order virial expansion. We also note that $P^{\rm ex}/nT \equiv Z-1$, where Z is the compressibility factor. It is evident, see Fig. 1, that the packing fraction is bounded from above for these three approaches: $\eta < 0.125$ in the model of directly excluded-volume, $\eta < 0.25$ in the van der Waals model, and $\eta < 1$ in the Carnahan-Starling model. Note, the dense packing limit for hard spheres is $\eta = \pi/(3\sqrt{2}) \simeq 0.76$. All the approaches coincide at small values of η but start to diverge significantly for $\eta > 0.1$. Comparison with Monte Carlo data indicates that the Carnahan-Starling equation of state is the most accurate of the considered and can describe well Monte Carlo data at least at up to $\eta = 0.5$.

The presented mean-field approach allows one to conveniently implement even more accurate equation of state for a gas of rigid spheres, such as one from Ref. [30] or another one given as the appropriate Padé approximation, for instance see [16]. These approaches, however, are beyond the scope of the present study.

C. Virial expansion for multi-component gas

The virial expansion for classical multi-component gas of f particle species can be written as

$$p(\mathbf{n},T) \;=\; T\sum_{i=1}^{f} n_i + T\sum_{i,j} b_{ij}(T)n_i n_j + \sum_{i,j,k} b_{ijk}(T)n_i n_j n_k + \ldots \;=\; T\sum_{i=1}^{f} n_i + P^{\rm ex}(\mathbf{n},T)\,. \quad (91)$$

Here $b_{ij},\ b_{ijk},\ldots$ are the virial coefficients. To get mean field $U_i(\mathbf{n},T)$, which corresponds to i-th species, we express it as power series

$$U_i(\mathbf{n},T) \;=\; T\sum_{j} a^i_j(T)n_j \;+\; \sum_{j,k} a^i_{jk}(T)n_j n_k + \ldots\ . \quad (92)$$

Substituting U_i in form (92) into Eqs. (48) which are the conditions of thermodynamic consistency we get a closed system of linear equations with respect to coefficients a^i_j, $a^{'}_{jk}$, etc. By solving this system we determine the mean fields U_i in an unambiguous way from the given virial expansion (91).

As an example let us consider a second order virial expansion, i.e. $P^{\rm ex}(\mathbf{n},T) = T\sum_{i,j} b_{ij}(T)n_i n_j$. In this case mean fields can be expressed as $U_i(\mathbf{n},T) = T\sum_j a^i_j(T)n_j$. Then, system of equations for coefficients a^i_j can be solved explicitly and the solution is

$$a^i_j(T) \;=\; 2b_{ij}(T), \quad (93)$$

$$U_i(\mathbf{n},T) \;=\; 2T\sum_{j} b_{ij}(T)n_j. \quad (94)$$

Note, because of the evident symmetry of coefficients $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$ we obtain from (93) the symmetry of indexes of coefficients which determine mean field, $a^i_j = a^j_i$. This statement is in full consistency with the mean-field property (49) and confirms it explicitly.

D. Excluded-volume in multi-component gas: van der Waals excluded-volume procedure I

One way to extend the van der Waals excluded-volume procedure on multi-component system of particles with different proper volumes v_i is to replace the total volume V of ideal gas by the total available volume $V - \sum_i v_i N_i$. This procedure was done in thermodynamically consistent way in Ref. [31] by inserting the total available volume into the grand

partition function. As a result, for a given point in $(T, \boldsymbol{\mu})$-plane one obtains a transcendental equation with respect to the system pressure $p(T, \boldsymbol{\mu})$

$$p(T, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{f} p_i^0 \big[T, \mu_i - v_i\, p(T, \boldsymbol{\mu})\big] \,. \tag{95}$$

Here $p_i^0(T, \mu_i)$ is the ideal gas pressure of species i. In the Boltzmann limit, the expression for pressure (95) reads as

$$p(T, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{f} p_i^0(T, \mu_i)\, \exp\left[-\frac{v_i\, p(T, \boldsymbol{\mu})}{T}\right] . \tag{96}$$

Let us show how the mean-field approach can be used to conveniently formulate a thermodynamically consistent procedure for multi-component gas when we use the same prescription regarding the available volume. To do that we substitute the excluded-volume $v_0\, n$ in expression (84) for the single-component van der Waals excess pressure by the total excluded-volume $\sum_i v_i n_i$ of multi-component gas. We also treat n as a total density of all particle species. The excess pressure then reads

$$P_{\rm VdW}^{\rm ex}(\mathbf{n}, T) \;=\; T \left(\sum_{j=1}^{f} n_j\right) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{f} v_i n_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{f} v_i n_i} \,. \tag{97}$$

In order to perform calculations of thermodynamic variables one needs to determing the mean fields U_i by solving the system of equations (48). We remind that this system manifests the conditions of thermodynamic consistency. In this particular case, solution for U_i can be obtained in closed form

$$U_i(\mathbf{n}, T) \;=\; T\, \frac{v_i \sum_{j=1}^{f} n_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{f} v_j n_j} - T\, \ln\left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{f} v_j n_j\right) . \tag{98}$$

With mean fields U_i in hands we can now express the particle densities n_i, which in the Boltzmann approximation read

$$n_i(T, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \;=\; \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{f} v_j n_j\right)\, n_i^0(T, \mu_i)\, \exp\left(-\frac{v_i \sum_{j=1}^{f} n_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{f} v_j n_j}\right) . \tag{99}$$

We recall that the total pressure in the system can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} p(T, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \;&=\; T \left(\sum_{i=1}^{f} n_i\right) + P_{\rm VdW}^{\rm ex}[\mathbf{n}(T, \boldsymbol{\mu}), T] \\ &=\; T \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{f} n_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{f} v_i n_i} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

Substituting (99) into the numerator of (100) one can easily obtain Eq. (96). This excluded volume procedure has an advantage due to its simplicity: just one transcendental equation (96) needs to be solved. Meanwhile, this formulation is not consistent with a second order virial expansion for a multi-component gas of hard spheres.

E. Excluded-volume in multi-component gas: van der Waals excluded-volume procedure II

Let us now formulate an excluded-volume procedure for multi-component gas which is consistent with second-order virial expansion. Let $b_{ij}, i, j = 1 \dots f$ denote the virial coefficients. With account for different radii of particles r_i and r_j which belong to different species i and j, respectively, the virial coefficients for hard-sphere model can be parameterized as

$$b_{ij} \;=\; \frac{2}{3}\pi(r_i + r_j)^3\,. \tag{101}$$

The pressure in second order virial expansion is then written as

$$p \;=\; T\sum_{i=1}^{f} n_i \;+\; T\sum_{i,j=1}^{f} b_{ij} n_i n_j\,. \tag{102}$$

On the other hand, using a van der Waals prescription (83) one can write the van der Waals pressure of multi-component gas as a sum of partial pressures p_i as

$$p \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{f} p_i \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{f} \frac{T n_i}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{f} \tilde{b}_{ij}\, n_j}\,, \tag{103}$$

where $\tilde{b}_{ij}$ are the so far unknown coefficients. In order for it to be consistent with the second order virial expansion the van der Waals pressure (103) should coincide with (102). up to second order expansion with respect to particle density. Hence, it is necessary to constrain the coefficients $\tilde{b}_{ij}$ as: $\tilde{b}_{ii} = b_{ii}$ and $\tilde{b}_{ij} + \tilde{b}_{ji} = 2b_{ij}$. The choice of the cross-terms $\tilde{b}_{ij}$ is not unique, for instance, one may let $\tilde{b}_{ij} = b_{ij}$ or, as was proposed in Ref. [32], $\tilde{b}_{ij} = 2b_{ii}b_{ij}/(b_{ii} + b_{jj})$.

So, if we determine coefficients $\tilde{b}_{ij}$, then we determine the van der Waals equation of state (103) for the multi-component gas of different species. From this equation of state one can obtain the corresponding excess pressure

$$P^{\rm ex}(\mathbf{n}, T) \;=\; T\sum_{i=1}^{f} \left(\frac{n_i \sum_{j=1}^{f} \tilde{b}_{ij} n_j}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{f} \tilde{b}_{ij} n_j} \right)\,. \tag{104}$$

Using this expression in equations (48), which guarantee thermodynamic consistency, one can also obtain mean fields U_i in a closed form

$$U_i(\mathbf{n},T) \,=\, T\sum_{j=1}^{f}\frac{\tilde{b}_{ij}n_j}{1-\sum_{k=1}^{f}\tilde{b}_{jk}n_k} \,-\, T\,\ln\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{f}\tilde{b}_{ij}n_j\right). \tag{105}$$

Then, exploiting the mean fields U_i from (105), the particle densities n_i in the Boltzmann approximation are obtained in the following form

$$n_i(T,\boldsymbol{\mu}) \,=\, \left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{f}\tilde{b}_{ij}\,n_j\right)\,n_i^0(T,\mu_i)\,\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{f}\frac{\tilde{b}_{ij}\,n_j}{1-\sum_{k=1}^{f}\tilde{b}_{jk}\,n_k}\right), \quad i=1\ldots f. \tag{106}$$

Expression (106) represents a system of transcendental equations for particle densities n_i which needs to be solved numerically for every given point in $(T,\boldsymbol{\mu})$-plane. The total pressure can be expressed as a sum

$$p(T,\boldsymbol{\mu}) \,=\, \sum_{i=1}^{f}p_i(T,\boldsymbol{\mu})\,, \qquad \text{where} \qquad p_i(T,\boldsymbol{\mu}) \,=\, \frac{Tn_i}{1-\sum_{j=1}^{f}\tilde{b}_{ij}\,n_j}\,. \tag{107}$$

If for a given $(T,\boldsymbol{\mu})$-point the system of transcendental equations (106) is solved, then substituting n_i into numerator in (107) and rewriting the argument of exponent with the help of p_i from (107) in the same way as in (100) one can easily show that the "partial" pressures $p_i(T,\boldsymbol{\mu})$ from (107) are solutions of the following system of transcendental equations

$$p_i(T,\boldsymbol{\mu}) \,=\, p_i^0(T,\mu_i)\,\exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{f}\tilde{b}_{ij}\,p_j(T,\boldsymbol{\mu})}{T}\right]. \tag{108}$$

The same system of equations was obtained in Ref. [32] as well by direct calculation of the grand partition function using excluded-volume procedure for multi-component gas.

The presented model is fully consistent with the 2nd order virial expansion, however, to get the solution one needs to solve one of the two equivalent systems of transcendental equations: either system (106) for particle densities or system (108) for "partial" pressures. On the other hand, the approach formulated in previous subsection, while not fully consistent with the 2nd order virial expansion, is much simpler as only a single transcendental equation needs to be solved, and, thus, this approach may be more practical to use.

VI. MEAN FIELD APPROACH IN HADRON-RESONANCE GAS MODEL

Statistical models are very successful in description of wide range of data on mean hadron multiplicities in various heavy-ion collision experiments. The most well-known is the ideal

hadron-resonance gas (I-HRG) model formulated in the framework of grand canonical ensemble. The I-HRG model represents a thermodynamic statistical system of non-interacting hadrons and resonances. The pressure in such system is given by

$$p \,=\, \sum_{i=1}^{f} p_i(T,\mu_i) \,=\, \sum_{i=1}^{f} g_i \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{\sqrt{m_i^2+\mathbf{k}^2}} \left[\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{m_i^2+\mathbf{k}^2}-\mu_i}{T} \right) + a_i \right]^{-1} , \quad (109)$$

where g_i is the degeneracy of hadron species i, T is the temperature, a_i equals -1 for bosons, $+1$ for fermions and it equals 0 for the Boltzmann gas. The chemical potential μ_i consists of contributions from baryon, strangeness and electric charge chemical potentials:

$$\mu_i = B_i\mu_B + S_i\mu_S + Q_i\mu_Q. \quad (110)$$

The particle density of hadron species i reads as

$$n_i(T,\mu_i) \,=\, g_i \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{m_i^2+\boldsymbol{k}^2}-\mu_i}{T} \right) + a_i \right]^{-1} . \quad (111)$$

Considering T and μ_B as free parameters and properly taking into account the decays of unstable hadrons one can fit multiplicity ratios measured in various heavy-ion collision experiments. Chemical potentials μ_S and μ_Q are usually expressed as functions of T and μ_B by fixing the total net strangeness $\langle S\rangle = 0$ and electric to baryon charge ratio $\langle Q\rangle/\langle B\rangle = Z/A \approx 0.4$.

In the interacting hadron resonance gas formulated in the mean-field approach (MF-HRG) expressions (109) and (111) for pressure and particle density now read

$$p \,=\, \sum_{i=1}^{f} \frac{g_i}{6\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^4\,dk}{\sqrt{m_i^2+k^2}}\, f_i(k,m_i;T,\mu_i,\mathbf{n}) \,+\, P^{\rm ex}(\mathbf{n},T)\,, \quad (112)$$

$$n_i \,=\, \frac{g_i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty k^2\,dk\, f_i(k,m_i;T,\mu_i,\mathbf{n})\,, \quad (113)$$

where

$$f_i(k,m_i;T,\mu_i,\mathbf{n}) \,=\, \left\{ \exp\left[\frac{\sqrt{m_i^2+k^2}-\mu_i+U_i(\mathbf{n},T)}{T} \right] + a_i \right\}^{-1} , \quad (114)$$

is the distribution function of the ith species, in which the free dispersion law is shifted by the mean field U_i.

In our calculations we include all non-strange and strange hadrons that are listed in Particle Data Tables [33]. This includes mesons up to $f_2(2340)$ and (anti)baryons up to

$N(2600)$. The finite width of resonances and their Breit-Wigner form is taken into account in a similar way as in THERMUS package [34], by making the following modification of the integration of distribution function:

$$\int d^3k\, f_i(k, m_i; \mathbf{n}, T, \mu_i) \;\to\; \int dm \int d^3k\, \rho_i(m)\, f_i(k, m; \mathbf{n}, T, \mu_i)\,, \tag{115}$$

where $\rho_i(m)$ is the properly normalized mass distribution for hadron i. We note that for stable hadrons $\rho_i(m) = \delta(m - m_i)$, while for resonances it has a Breit-Wigner form.

In order to perform calculations one needs to solve self-consistently a system of transcendental equations for hadron densities (113). In the present study we include effects of excluded-volume in the framework of the mean-field approach and we consider only the case when the repulsive interaction between all kinds of hadrons is the same, i.e. all hadrons have the same hard-core radius r. In this case all mean fields coincide ($U_i \equiv U$) and depend just on the total density of all hadrons, $n = \sum_i n_i$. Therefore, only single transcendental equation for total density n needs to be solved. This equation is solved numerically using the secant method.

We consider three different excluded-volume parameterizations of mean field:

a) The model of directly excluded-volume:

$$P^{\rm ex}(n, T) \;=\; -\frac{T}{2v_0}\ln\left(1 - 2v_0 n\right) - nT\,, \tag{116}$$

$$U(n, T) \;=\; -T\ln\left(1 - 2v_0 n\right), \tag{117}$$

where v_0 is four times the intrinsic volume of hadron.

b) The van der Waals excluded-volume procedure:

$$P^{\rm ex}(n, T) \;=\; Tn\frac{v_0 n}{1 - v_0 n}, \tag{118}$$

$$U(n, T) \;=\; T\frac{v_0 n}{1 - v_0 n} - T\ln\left(1 - 2v_0 n\right). \tag{119}$$

c) The Carnahan-Starling model:

$$P^{\rm ex}(n, T) \;=\; Tn\,\frac{v_0 n\left(1 - \frac{1}{8}v_0 n\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}v_0 n\right)^3}\,, \tag{120}$$

$$U(n, T) \;=\; -3T\left[1 - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{12}v_0 n}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}v_0 n\right)^3}\right]. \tag{121}$$

Note, all three cases are consistent with second-order virial expansion for a gas of hard spheres.

We would like to study properties of a hadron-resonance gas at the stage of a chemical freeze-out during heavy-ion collisions. As a result of analysis of particle multiplicities at various heavy-ion collision experiments the collision energy dependence of temperature and baryochemical potential at the chemical freeze-out can be parametrized as [35]

$$T(\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}) = 0.166\,{\rm GeV} - 0.139\,{\rm GeV}^{-1}\mu_B^2 - 0.053\,{\rm GeV}^{-3}\mu_B^4, \quad (122)$$

$$\mu_B(\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}) = \frac{1.308\,{\rm GeV}}{1 + 0.273\,{\rm GeV}^{-1}\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}}. \quad (123)$$

At the highest collision energies this parametrization yields $T \approx 166$ MeV and $\mu_B \approx 0$. We note that recent lattice QCD calculations [36, 37] indicate the QCD crossover transition temperature of $T \sim 155$ MeV, a lower value than given by (122). Recent analysis of particle yields and ratios, as well as fluctuations of conserved charges, indeed indicate a lower chemical freeze-out temperature [38–40]. Nevertheless, these details play very minor role in the context of calculations in the present work. Therefore, we will use parametrization given by Eqs. (122) and (123) to study collision energy dependence of chemical freeze-out properties.

In order to account for incomplete equilibration in the strangeness sector a suppression factor γ_S is usually introduced in the distribution function and its energy dependence parametrization reads as [41]

$$\gamma_S(\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}) = 1 - 0.396\exp\left(-1.23\frac{T}{\mu_B}\right). \quad (124)$$

This factor is introduced into $f_i(k, m; T, \mu_i, \mathbf{n})$ in expression for density and pressure as

$$f_i(k, m; T, \mu_i, \mathbf{n}) = \left[\gamma_S^{-|s_i|}\,\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{k^2 + m_i^2} - \mu_i + U_i(\mathbf{n}, T)}{T}\right) + a_i\right]^{-1}, \quad (125)$$

where $|s_i|$ is the absolute strangeness content for hadron species i, i.e. $|s_i|$ is the total number of strange quarks and anti-quarks in i-th hadron. The results of the calculations for energy dependence of the net-baryon density on the center-of-mass collision energy, $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$, is presented in Fig. 2. We note that results for the van der Waals excluded-volume model are consistent with those in Ref. [42] obtained with the help of a THERMUS package. The inclusion of a hard-core repulsion results in a shift of a maximum in net-baryon density energy dependence to the region of lower collision energies and it also broadens the peak. For instance, for a hard-core radius of 0.5 fm the maximum is shifted from $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} \simeq 8$ GeV to $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} \simeq 6$ GeV. These energies of maximum net-baryon density at freeze-out lie firmly



Figure 2: (Color online) Energy dependence of the net-baryon density calculated in the mean field approach for different values of hadron hard-core radii using three different excluded-volume procedures: the model of directly excluded-volume (dotted line), the van der Waals equation of state (dash-dotted line), and the Carnahan-Starling equation of state (solid line).

in the range of a future Compressed Baryonic Matter (CBM) experiment at the Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research (FAIR).

The energy dependence of the mean field and excess pressure is depicted in Fig. 3. It is seen that they both increase with collision energy, and the difference between the considered excluded-volume procedures increases with collision energy. Meanwhile, one can see the saturation of the mean field in the region $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} \geq 20A$ GeV for all of the excluded-volume models considered in the present paper. It is especially pronounced for the smaller hadron radii, e.g. at $r \leq 0.5$ fm. Hence, we can conclude that the effects of hard-core repulsion remain approximately constant at collisions energies which are bigger than $20A$ GeV.

One can see from Fig. 3 (right) that the excess pressure is a non-monotonous function of the hadron hard-core radius at high collision energies. To explain this we recall that, by definition, $P^{\rm ex}(n,T)$ is the difference between the interacting system pressure and the ideal gas pressure at the same values of temperature and particle density, e.g., $P^{\rm ex}(n,T) = p - nT$ in case of Boltzmann statistics. In excluded-volume models this quantity is proportional to the total density n and it also depends on the packing fraction, $\eta \equiv v_0 n/4$. On the other hand, at fixed T and μ, the presence of excluded volume leads to the reduction of



Figure 3: (Color online) Energy dependence of (a) mean field U and (b) excess pressure $P^{\rm ex}$ calculated for different hadron hard core radii in the mean field approach using three different excluded-volume procedures: the model of directly excluded-volume (dotted line), the van der Waals equation of state (dash-dotted line), and the Carnahan-Starling equation of state (solid line).

particle density $n(T,\mu)$ compared to the ideal gas density $n^{\rm id}(T,\mu)$, as seen from Eq. (58), and, generally, $n(T,\mu)$ decreases with increase of hard-core radius r. Naturally, $n(T,\mu)\to 0$ when particle self-volume $v_0\to\infty$. For this reason $P^{\rm ex}$, which is proportional to n, goes to zero as $r\to\infty$. On the other hand, also $P^{\rm ex}=0$ when $r=0$ since there are no interactions when $r=0$. This entails that, at fixed T and μ, the dependence of $P^{\rm ex}$ on hard-core radius r is non-monotonic and has a maximum.

The effective single particle proper volume $\tilde{v}_0$ generated by the repulsive mean field can be calculated using Eq. (68) and is plotted in Fig. 4. We normalize the value of $\tilde{v}_0$ by the intrinsic particle volume $v_{\rm in}=4\pi r^3/3$, where we use $r=0.5$ fm. It is seen that for the model of directly excluded-volume the value of $\tilde{v}_0$ is fixed at $\tilde{v}_0=8v_{\rm in}$, which can be interpreted as the volume around the center of a spherical particle, inside of which no other center of a spherical particle can be located if the spheres are non-penetrable. For the more realistic hard sphere equations of state such as the van der Waals and Carnahan-Starling we have that $\tilde{v}_0<8v_{\rm in}$.

As was discussed in Sec. V, the factor $\vartheta(n)=e^{-U(n,T)/T}$ quantifies the decrease of available volume $\widetilde{V}$, i.e. $\vartheta(n)=\widetilde{V}/V$, and suppression of quantum statistics compared to the case of ideal gas (see (75) and discussion after it). We plot the energy dependence of this quantity for the hard-core radius of 0.5 fm in Fig. 5. It is seen that, even at a moderate value of



Figure 4: (Color online) Energy dependence of the effective single particle self-volume calculated in accordance with Eq. (68) using three different excluded-volume procedures: the model of directly excluded-volume (dotted blue line), the van der Waals equation of state (dash-dotted red line), and the Carnahan-Starling equation of state (solid black line). Intrinsic particle volume $v_{\rm in} = 4\pi r^3/3$, where we take $r = 0.5$ fm.

$r = 0.5$ fm, the densities and also the quantum statistics become significantly suppressed, especially at higher collisions energies. These results further indicate that, in most cases, appliance of the Boltzmann approximation is quite sufficient for the studies of chemical freeze-out in heavy-ion collisions.

We would like to investigate the effects of the size of the hard-core hadron radii r that we use in our study. In Fig. 6 we plot the dependence of the net-baryon density on the value of r for a fixed collision energy of $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 8$ GeV, which corresponds to the following values of parameters: $T = 141$ MeV, $\mu_B = 411$ MeV and $\gamma_S = 0.74$. It is seen that all three considered procedures give very similar results for moderate values of hadron radii ($r \leq 0.5$ fm), meanwhile the relative difference for the net-baryon density given by different excluded-volume approaches increases with value of r and reaches about $20 - 25\%$ at $r \gtrsim 0.9$ fm. The situation is essentially the same for RHIC and LHC energies as well. These results show that the choice of excluded-volume procedure becomes important in the studies of chemical freeze-out if one considers large values of hard-core hadron radii and in this case the van der Waals procedure may become quite inaccurate. Hence, if we are going to



Figure 5: (Color online) Energy dependence of of the factor ϑ which quantifies the decrease of the total available volume $\widetilde{V}$, i.e. $\vartheta(n) = \widetilde{V}/V$, as well as the suppression of quantum statistics due to repulsive mean field. Calculations are done using three different excluded-volume procedures and with the value of hadron hard core radius equal to 0.5 fm.

interpret our system as a gas of hard spheres then, evidently, the Carnahan-Starling model gives the most accurate results among the presented excluded-volume approaches. However, in order to describe the lattice QCD data at $T < 155$ MeV within the EV-HRG it is necessary that the hard-core radius of hadrons is constrained from above, namely $r \lesssim 0.5$ fm (see, e.g., Ref. [43]). For these values of r the differences are no more than a few percent, and the standard van der Waals excluded-volume procedure appears to be sufficient to describe the densities at the chemical freeze-out at all collision energies, as seen in Figs. 2 and 6. We note that the particle number fluctuations in HRG may be more sensitive to the excluded-volume effects [44], however, we do not study this subject in the present work.

VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In the presented thermodynamic mean-field approach we express interactions in a multi-component gas in terms of mean fields, $U_i(n,T)$, and the excess pressure, $P^{\rm ex}(n,T)$. This approach provides a prescription to conveniently generalize known phenomenological models of equation-of-state to the case of a system with variable number of particles in the grand canonical formulation, which is of vital significance for relativistic systems.



Figure 6: (Color online) Dependence of the net-baryon density on the value of the hard-core hadron radius. Calculations are done for the values: $T = 141$ MeV, $\mu_B = 411$ MeV and $\gamma_S = 0.74$, which correspond to the collision energy of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8$ GeV.

In the present work we formulated three different excluded-volume procedures for the mixture of particles with the same hard-core radii in terms of mean-fields: the directly excluded-volume, the van der Waals equation-of-state, and the Carnahan-Starling equation-of-state. The mean fields in excluded-volume models are linearly dependent on temperature and we show that such kind of fields generate an effective self-volume of a particle. As a result, the expressions for the total number of particles and the total energy are reduced to the case of ideal gas, but with total volume V suppressed by a density-dependent factor of $\vartheta(n) = \exp[-U(n,T)/T]$. It was shown that the presence of excluded-volume does not change average energy per particle in the Boltzmann limit. In case of quantum statistics, in addition to volume suppression, the presence of excluded volume leads also to suppression of the quantum statistical effects, see Eqs. (71), (72) and (75).

The three different excluded-volume procedures are then used in the hadron-resonance gas model for studies of the collision energy dependence of various parameters at chemical freeze-out in heavy-ion collisions. In all three cases, the inclusion of hard-core repulsion results in a shift of the net-baryon maximum location to lower collision energies, a result also reported earlier [42]. It is interesting to note that, for any excluded-volume model considered in the present paper, there is a saturation of the mean field starting from collision

energies $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} \simeq 20$ GeV, see Fig. 3. As it is seen, the saturation is especially pronounced for hadron radii $r \leq 0.5$ fm. Hence, for collision energies $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} \geq 20$ GeV we can take the mean field as a constant one. This saturation of the mean field also results in saturation of the effective particle volume $\widetilde{v}_0 = (V - \widetilde{V})/N$ (Fig. 4), and in saturation of the available volume quantified by the ϑ-factor, $\vartheta(n) = \widetilde{V}/V$, (Fig. 5). The latter means that suppression of quantum statistical effects which is determined by the ϑ-factor will be at the same level for all collision energies $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} \geq 20$ GeV.

The calculations also show that, for all collision energies, the differences between the three procedures stay rather small at moderate values of hadron radii ($r \lesssim 0.5$ fm), the values also suggested by comparison of EV-HRG with lattice QCD. This confirms the validity of the van der Waals excluded-volume procedure, which is commonly used to include the effects of short-range repulsion in HRG. The differences between considered models may only become significant at large and rather unrealistic values of hadron radii ($r \gtrsim 0.9$ fm), where the relative differences for net-baryon density may reach about $20 - 25\%$. This indicates that a choice of the model for repulsive interactions may be significant when one considers hadrons of large size, or when one deals with highly dense systems such as compact stars. If one interprets hadrons as hard spheres, then the Carnahan-Starling procedure is the most accurate of the three considered in our work. The effects of relativistic contraction would inevitably distort the picture of rigid spheres, however, this effect is expected to be small for a hadron gas. Indeed, since the temperature of a hadron gas is significantly smaller than masses of all hadrons except pions, than this effect may be significant only for thermal pions. Since the majority of pions come from resonance decays, which again have a large masses compared to temperature, then this effect can be expected to stay small even for pions in general.

The presented approach allows one to consider as well a multi-component system of particles of different sizes. We use the mean-field approach to formulate two different van-der-Waals-like excluded-volume procedures for this case. In the Boltzmann limit, these approaches are shown to be consistent with previously proposed formulations. In both of these models, for any given values of temperature and chemical potentials, one obtains a system of transcendental equations for densities of all considered particle species, and this system needs to be solved numerically.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank M.I. Gorenstein for useful discussions. Publication is based on the research provided by the grant support of the State Fund for Fundamental Research (project No. F58/175-2014). The work was partially supported by the LOEWE program of HIC for FAIR (Frankfurt am Main) and by the Program of Fundamental Research of the Department of Physics and Astronomy of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (project No. 0112U000056).

---

[1] J. Cleymans and H. Satz, Z. Phys. C 57, 135 (1993).

[2] J. Cleymans, K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5284 (1998).

[3] J. Cleymans, K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. C **60**, 054908 (1999).

[4] F. Becattini, J. Cleymans, A. Keranen, E. Suhonen and K. Redlich, Phys. Rev. C **64**, 024901 (2001).

[5] P. Braun-Munzinger, D. Magestro, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B **518**, 41 (2001).

[6] F. Becattini, M. Gazdzicki, A. Keranen, J. Manninen and R. Stock, Phys. Rev. C **69**, 024905 (2004).

[7] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, and J. Stachel, Nucl. Phys. A **772**, 167 (2006).

[8] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, and J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B **673**, 142 (2009).

[9] R. Dashen, S.-K. Ma, and H. J. Bernstein, Phys. Rev. **187**, 345 (1969).

[10] R. Hagedorn, Z. Phys. C **17**, 265 (1983); R. Hagedorn and J. Rafelski, Phys. Lett. B **97**, 136 (1980).

[11] J. Cleymans and E. Suhonen, Z. Phys. C **37**, 51 (1987).

[12] M. I. Gorenstein, V. K. Petrov, and G. M. Zinovjev, Phys. Lett. B **106**, 327 (1981); D. H. Rischke, M. I. Gorenstein, H. Stöcker, and W. Greiner, Z. Phys. C **51**, 485 (1991).

[13] S. Uddin and C.P. Singh, Z. Phys. C **63**, 147 (1994).

[14] J.D. Walecka, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **83**, 491 (1974).

[15] B. D. Serot and J. D. Walecka, Int. Journ. Mod. Phys. E **6**, 515 (1997).

[16] D.V. Anchishkin, Sov. Phys. JETP **75**, 195 (1992) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **102**, 369 (1992)].

[17] D. Anchishkin, E. Suhonen, Nucl. Phys. A **586**, 734 (1995).

[18] V.K. Tiwari, K.K. Singh, N. Prasad, and C.P. Singh, Nucl. Phys. A **637**, 159 (1998).

[19] V.K. Tiwari, N. Prasad, and C.P. Singh, Phys. Rev. C **58**, 439 (1998).

[20] M.I. Gorenstein, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 044907 (2012).

[21] B.M. Waldhauser, J.A. Maruhn, H. Stöcker, W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. C **38**, 1003 (1988).

[22] J.E. Mayer and M.G. Mayer, *Statistical mechanics* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1977), Chapter 8.

[23] F.M. Kuni, *Statistical physics and thermodynamics* [in Russian] (Nauka, Moscow, 1981), Chapter 4.

[24] T. S. Biro, A. A. Shanenko and V. D. Toneev, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **66**, 982 (2003) [Yad. Fiz. **66**, 1015 (2003)].

[25] M. Hempel and J. Schaffner-Bielich, Nucl. Phys. A **837**, 210 (2010).

[26] J. Steinheimer, S. Schramm and H. Stocker, J. Phys. G **38**, 035001 (2011).

[27] Ch. Kittel, *Thermal physics* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1970).

[28] N.F. Carnahan and K.E. Starling, J. Chem. Phys. **51**, 635 (1969).

[29] G.-W. Wu and R.J. Sadus, AIChE J. **51**, 309 (2005).

[30] D. Ma and G. Ahmadi, J. Chem. Phys. **84**, 3449 (1986).

[31] G.D. Yen, M.I. Gorenstein, W. Greiner, and S.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. C **56**, 2210 (1997); G. D. Yen and M. I. Gorenstein, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 2788 (1999).

[32] M. I. Gorenstein, A. P. Kostyuk and Y. D. Krivenko, J. Phys. G **25**, L75 (1999).

[33] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).

[34] S. Wheaton, J. Cleymans, and M. Hauer, Comput. Phys. Commun. **180**, 84 (2009).

[35] J. Cleymans, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich, and S. Wheaton, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 034905 (2006).

[36] S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg, and K. K. Szabo, Phys. Lett. B **730**, 99 (2014).

[37] A. Bazavov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 094503 (2014).

[38] J. Stachel, A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger and K. Redlich, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **509**, 012019 (2014).

[39] M. Floris, Nucl. Phys. A **931**, 103 (2014).

[40] P. Braun-Munzinger, A. Kalweit, K. Redlich and J. Stachel, Phys. Lett. B **747**, 292 (2015).

[41] F. Becattini, J. Manninen, and M. Gazdzicki, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 044905 (2006).

[42] V.V. Begun, M. Gaździcki, and M.I. Gorenstein, Phys. Rev. C **88**, 024902 (2013).

[43] V. Vovchenko, D. V. Anchishkin and M. I. Gorenstein, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 024905 (2015).

[44] M. I. Gorenstein, M. Hauer and D. O. Nikolajenko, Phys. Rev. C **76**, 024901 (2007).